291809 v2.0

Aptean Ltd Copyright © 2011-2025.

Contents

1 291809	1
1.1 Client Requirement	2
1.2 Solution	
1.3 Scope	
·	
2 Set-up	3
2.1 Pre-requisites.	ć
2.2 Menu Structure	3
2 Set-up	3
3 Functional Description	
3.1 Business Data	
3 Functional Description	
4 AUTHORISED BY	

1 291809



DHL C-TMS

Planned Trips Flow

FUNCTIONAL SPECIFICATION - 10.7

1/11/11 - 2.0

Reference: 291809 - TH-8LFCDX



1.1 Client Requirement

Change Request Summary:

Planned Trips flow.

Change Request Details:

Planned Trips flow: Development, Mapping consultation, implementation and UAT support of the Planned Trips flow from SAP into C-TMS.

To include:

Mapping workshop

interface build

CTMS set-up

testing support

implementation to live

Benefits identified as a result of the change:

Migration away from ETHOS.

1.2 Solution

The inbound EDI order upload process will be altered to create trips based on the <ROUTE_CODE> value passed into the <ORDER_HEADER> level record. A new cost centre level parameter AUTOPLAN_METHOD will be created. If this parameter is set to ?R?, a new procedure will be run to create and modify trips after all the orders have been created.

This will retrieve the orders that have been created in Route Code sequence. For each Route Code, the trip record should be retrieved and the all the existing orders that belong to that trip will be removed.

Then for each order passed in, a check will be made to determine if the order already belongs to a different trip by comparing the Route Code of that trip to the one passed in. If this is the case, then the order will be removed from that trip.

The trip the order used to belong to will then be revalidated. The order will then be added to the trip for the Route Code passed in. At the end of each Route Code, the dates and times for the trip will be recalculated and the trip will be revalidated.

It is expected that the orders passed in for each trip will be sent in the sequence the stops are expected to be created.

Note that OBS are assuming that the Route Code passed in is not expected to exceed 12 characters. There is also the assumption that the SAP delivery number (which will be stored within the 50 character External Ref against the order) is unique.

1.3 Scope

This change will be applied to system version 10.7.



2 Set-up

2.1 Pre-requisites

None

2.2 Menu Structure

Unchanged

2.3 Data

The inbound upload process using the standard ?TripOrder v2.23.xsd? is expected to be used. Note that OBS are assuming that the Route Code passed in is not expected to exceed 12 characters. There is also the assumption that the SAP delivery number (which will be stored within the 50 character External Ref against the order) is unique.

A new Cost Centre level parameter ?AUTOPLAN_METHOD? will be created and set to ?R? for British Gypsum.



3 Functional Description

3.1 Business Data

The <ROUTE_CODE> field against each order is expected to specify a unique trip identifier that will be stored against the Trip Header record created. This field has a maximum length of 12 characters.

3.2 Inbound Order Upload

This program will be altered to create trips based on the <ROUTE_CODE> value passed against the <ORDER HEADER> level record.

After the orders have been processed, a new procedure will be added to be called if the ?AUTOPLAN_METHOD? parameter is set to ?R?.

This will have the effect of creating a trip with a route code that matches the route code passed in against the orders. So for example of a file is sent in as follows:

File1

OMS REF Current Trip Id New Trip Id (based on ROUTE CODE)

REF1	TRIP1	TRIP3
REF2	TRIP2	TRIP3
REF3	NONE	TRIP3
REF4	TRIP1	TRIP3

Expected result: The 4 orders will become assigned to Trip3 with the stop sequence for the orders matching the sequence they are passed in. Trip1 and Trip2 will no longer contain REF1, REF2 and REF4 and will be revalidated. If Trip1 and Trip2 no longer contain any orders, those trips will be deleted.

File2


OMS_REF Current Trip Id New Trip Id (based on ROUTE_CODE)

REF5	NONE	TRIP3
REF2	TRIP3	TRIP4
REF3	TRIP3	TRIP4
REF4	TRIP3	TRIP5
REF6	NONE	TRIP4

Expected result: Orders REF1, REF2, REF3 and REF4 will be removed from TRIP3. Order REF5 will be added to TRIP3. Orders REF2, REF3 and REF6 will be added to TRIP4, any orders that were previously on TRIP4 will be removed. Order REF4 will be added to TRIP5, any orders that were previously on TRIP5 will be removed. Since order REF1 was not passed into the second file, it will not belong to a trip after this upload and will be at status ?UNSCHEDULED?.


In order to achieve this, firstly the orders will be processed and uploaded using the current xml order upload process. If any errors occur for any of the orders, then the file upload will be rejected and no auto-planning processing will occur. As usual, the order level errors will be visible within the ?XML orders? tab within the interface errors screen:





If all the orders are successfully uploaded and the ?AUTOPLAN_METHOD? parameter is set to ?R? a new auto-planning procedure will be called. This procedure will retrieve the uploaded orders in ROUTE_CODE and STOP_SEQUENCE order. The STOP_SEQUENCE in this case will be a count within each ROUTE_CODE based on the sequence the orders are passed into the file. Note that stops that are passed into the file that have the same location will be assigned to the same stop. For each ROUTE_CODE, the program will check for a C-TMS trip record. If one exists, then the trip will be removed from the system by calling the TRM.DELETE_TRIP procedure. This will have the effect of un-scheduling all the orders from that trip and removing all the payments generated so far. Note that if the trip selected is at status ?En-Route? or later then it will not be possibly to modify the trip. In this scenario, no changes will be made to the existing trip. The file upload for the orders will still be considered as being successful since the orders will process correctly, so the user will have to check that if there any trip level issues by accessing the ?XML Trips? canvas within the Interface Errors form:





If the original trip is deleted successfully, then the process will create a new trip record for the new ROUTE_CODE. Note that this will have a different C-TMS TRIP_ID value as this is based on a sequence. The trip will be created using the TRM.INSERT_TRIP procedure.

For each order that is retrieved, the ROUTE_CODE passed in will be compared to the ROUTE_CODE for the trip that the order currently belongs to. If they are different, then the order will be removed from its current trip assuming the status is before ?En-Route? as before. That trip will then be revalidated by calling the TRM.CALC_DISTANCE_AND_TIME procedure followed by the TRM.VALIDATE_TRIP procedure.

Once the new trip has been created, each of the required orders will then be added to the new trip by calling the TRM.ADD_ORDER_TO_TRIP procedure. Note that if the locations passed against the order are new, then the trip validation may fail. Under this circumstance, as with the current auto-planning process, all the orders for that trip will be set as ?UNSCHEDULED? and the user will be expected to create the trip manually. The user would also have the option of uploading the file a second time (with a different filename) after the location codes have been updated with valid Latitude and Longitude values.



After the last order to be added to the new trip has been processed, the new trip will be revalidated by calling the TRM.CALC_DISTANCE_AND_TIME procedure followed by the TRM.VALIDATE_TRIP procedure as before. Errors that occur attempting to create or validate the new trips will also be visible in the ?XML Trips? canvas of the ?Interface Errors? screen.

Note that the existing carrier-lane based autoplan process will be altered to be called only if the ?AUTOPLAN_METHOD? parameter is set to ?L?. This will allow segregation of the autoplanning process.

Modules to be changed

Module Name Module Type Notes

INT_XML_IN.sql Inbound Order upload

INT_XML_TRIP.sql Inbound Trip Upload New module

References

Ref No	Document Title & ID	Version	Date
1	EST-291809 TH-8LFCDX Planned Trips Flow v1.0.doc	1.0	24/10/11

Glossary

Term or Acronym MeaningC-TMS Calidus TMS

Document History

Version	Date	Status	Reason	Initials
0.1	24/10/11	Draft	Initial version	RE
1.0	27/10/11	Issue	Reviewed and Issued	MJC
1.1	28/10/11	Issue	Changes following client review	RE
2.0	01/11/11	Issue	Reviewed and Issued	MJC



4 AUTHORISED BY

Matt Crisford	Development Manager
Peter Greer	TMSCC MTS Product Manager

